

1

II. Response to Florida Uniform Citation Number: _____

Defendant in the state court matter cited hereby responds to that Citation as Follows:

- 1) Defendant denies each and every element of the offense charged on grounds of Fact, Law, Constitutional Grounds, and grounds that any such conduct charged is De Minimis.
- 2) Defendant demands that Adverse Party prove to the fullest legal requirement each and every element of the offense.
- 3) Pursuant to Amendment VII, US Constitution Defendant, Demands that the matter be decided by a Jury, and that Defendant be notified as to the time and place of that Trial.
- 4) Defendant seeks removal of the state court matter in question, to US District Court, due to the Federal Questions enumerated infra.

Defendant maintains the following Federal Questions are in controversy in this matter:

Defendant's Right to be Free From Excessive Fines, Fees, or Penalties;

Defendant's Right to Travel;

Defendant's Right to Due Process;

Defendant's Right to Life;

Defendant's Right to Liberty;

Defendant's Equal Protection of Law.

Defendant's Right to be Free From Excessive Fines, Fees, or Penalties is in controversy herein because the penalty sought by adverse party is excessive, and because failure to pay it will result in the threat to and interference with the Free Enjoyment And Exercise of the stated Rights, as stated infra.

Defendant's Right to Travel is in controversy in this matter because adverse party is a state, and by administrative act will by interfere with, and threaten Defendant's Right To Travel for failure to respond to the state court matter, or as a matter of default judgment therein.

28

1

Defendant's Right To Due Process is in controversy in this matter because adverse party is a state, and by administrative act will by interfere with, and threaten Defendant's Right To Travel with neither notice, nor opportunity to defend, for failure to respond to the state court matter, or as a matter of default judgment therein.

Defendant's Right To Life is in controversy in this matter because Defendant sustains his existence through the exercise of his Right to Travel, and because adverse party is a state, and by administrative act will by interfere with, and threaten Defendant's Right To Travel with neither notice, nor opportunity to defend, for failure to respond to the state court matter, or as a matter of default judgment therein, and this will interfere with or threaten Defendant's exercise of his Right To Life.

Defendant's Right To Liberty is in controversy in this matter because Defendant enjoys his Liberty, by among other ways, exercising his Right to Travel. Because adverse party is a state, and by administrative act will by interfere with, and threaten Defendant's Right To Travel with neither notice, nor opportunity to defend, for failure to respond to the state court matter, or as a matter of default judgment therein, this will interfere with or threaten Defendant's exercise of his Right To Liberty.

Defendant's Right To Equal Protection of Law is in controversy in this matter because adverse party is a state, and by administrative act will by interfere with, and threaten Defendant's Right To Travel with neither notice, nor opportunity to defend, for failure to respond to the state court matter, or as a matter of default judgment therein, this will interfere with or threaten Defendant Right To Equal Protection of Law, because it will take place if Defendant responds within 30 days, but the response is not docketed until later, whereas other Courts allow time for mailing, holidays, and weekends. Defendant's Right To Equal Protection of Law is further in controversy in this matter because, there exists no

28

lawful protection to prevent “insurance companies” from charging more money for their

1

product because of adjudications in the state court, but Defendant is required by a coercive law to purchase that product. Finally, because each and every law enforcement officer may retrieve the records of adjudications in state court, and does enforce state law solely based on that information, whether correct or not, and because Defendant does not have equal access to those records to retrieve them, modify them, or delete them, as does adverse party, and because the burden always falls on Defendants in state court situated similarly to Defendant herein, to correct false records maintained and published by adverse party, Defendant is denied the equal protection of law to prevent false records from causing him further charges.

Because the interference with and threat to the the stated Rights will be caused by adverse party for failure to respond to this matter in state court, or for default judgment therein, and because that threat will cause Defendant damages in all of the Several States, and because the interference to the stated Rights caused by adverse party will take place in all of the several states, they are Federal Questions for which Defendant seeks resolution in this Court.

Respectfully Submitted to This Court, This _____ Day _____,
20____.

Defendant, Pro-Se.

28